

RECEIVED

OFFICE OF THE CITY MANAGER

LTC # 126-2012

2012 MAY -7 PM 1: 18
LETTER TO COMMISSION
CITY CLERK'S UFFICE

TO:

Mayor Matti Herrera Bower and Members of the City Commission

FROM:

Jorge M. Gonzalez, City Manager

DATE:

May 7, 2012

SUBJECT: Cleanliness Index & Assessment Program Results for FY 2011/12 Quarter 2

The purpose of this Letter to Commission is to communicate the results of the award winning Cleanliness Index and Assessment program from FY2011/12 Quarter 2 (January 1, 2012 to March 30, 2012).

Background

The Miami Beach Public Area Cleanliness Index is an objective measurement of performance ranging from 1.0 (Very Clean) to 6.0 (Very Dirty) and includes assessments of litter, litter/ garbage cans/dumpsters, organic material, and fecal matter. The results of the assessments are used to monitor the impacts of recently implemented initiatives to target areas for future improvements, and assure the quality of services.

During FY 2006/07, the City tightened the target for the Citywide and area-specific cleanliness indicators from 2.0 to 1.5 – the lower the score on the cleanliness index indicates a cleaner area. This target continues to be the same through FY 2007/08, FY 2008/09, FY 2009/10, FY 2010/11, and FY 2011/12. As important, the City also has a goal to ensure that 90 percent of assessments score 2.0 or better.

Summary of the Cleanliness Assessment Results FY 2011/12 Quarter 1

Overall, the citywide cleanliness index improved during FY 2011/12 Quarter 2 by 16.0% when compared to the same quarter in FY2005/06 (the first year the program was implemented) and were statistically the same when compared to the same quarter in FY2010/11 (1.9% difference). In general, the City's cleanliness has steadily progressed as evidenced by the index, anecdotal information, and results of our most recent resident survey.

Positive and Improved Areas in FY 2011/12 Quarter 2

• Parking Lots - Parking lots have improved 15.5% when compared to the same quarter in FY 2005/06. Despite this improvement, the score is still below our target with an 11.7% deterioration when compared to the same quarter in FY 2010/11. Increased usage of lots during spring break has increased litter on the ground and garbage cans being full more often. Sanitation continues to work with the waste hauler to increase service for garbage cans and has submitted a cure letter to the vendor for immediate attention.

- Beaches Scores improved by 19.6% for areas covered by Miami Beach staff and 23.6% for areas covered by MDC compared to the same quarter in FY2005/06, with a 5.6% improvement in Miami Beach areas of responsibility from same quarter in FY 2011/12. Cleanliness of beaches remains a priority, with multiple departments collaborating to address prior issues. Miami-Dade County personnel participate in quarterly meetings. Based on the 17.5% increase of resort tax earnings compared to prior year February FY2010/11 earnings, it is reasonable to imply that beach usage has increased significantly. Despite this increase in usage, beach area cleanliness scores are the best to date.
- <u>Sidewalks and Streets</u>— Sidewalks across the Beach improved by 25.4% when compared to the same quarter in FY 2005/06, with an improvement of 7.8% when compared to the same quarter in FY 2010/11. Scores this quarter deteriorated by 5.5% when compared to the same quarter in FY 2010/11. Streets across the Beach improved by 19.7% when compared to the same quarter in FY 2005/06, and were statistically the same when compared to the same quarter in FY 2010/11 (only a 0.06% difference). The percentage of street assessments scoring 2.0 was 90.7%.

Areas of Focus

- Waterways Overall scores improved by 8.5% when compared the same quarter in FY 2005/06, but this continues to be an area of focus as scores remain the poorest for all assessment areas. Waterways have deteriorated by 22.0% when compared to the same quarter in FY 2005/06 but had a 14.2% improvement from the prior FY 2011/12 guarter. Waterways in the North Beach area reflect a deterioration of 68.3% when compared to the same quarter in FY 2010/11. The main factor affecting the score is litter accumulation on the edge of the water and debris coming down as a result of the high tide. Sanitation will reach out to Miami Dade County to discuss areas of responsibility and cleaning cycle dates. County staff have been invited to all cleanliness meetings and provided cleanliness program data. waterways meeting target improved 44.1% when compared to prior FY quarter; however, South Beach and North Beach areas remain problematic. Sanitation will evaluate whether additional resources are needed to address waterway cleanliness as part of the FY 2012/13 budget development process. Code will continue to increase enforcement of waterway shoreline cleaning.
- <u>Alleys</u>— Overall scores in alleys improved by 28.3% when compared to the same quarter in FY 2005/06, and were statistically the same (a 2.1% difference) when compared to the same quarter in FY 2010/11. However, alley cleanliness ratings at 1.93 remain among the poorest citywide. Overflowing garbage cans in South Beach and litter in the Middle Beach area appear to be the issue. Planned upgrading of cleanliness automation will provide for email notification to code enforcement to allow for 24 hour response, thus permitting sanitation to address overflowing dumpsters immediately.
- Parks- Scores deteriorated by 12.3%when compared to the same quarter in FY2010/11, with a 4.6% improvement when compared to the same quarter in FY2005/06. Parks will continue to work with sanitation to address any issues, as well as waste hauler responsibilities.

Public Area	Q	1	Q	2	Q	3	Q4	200
verall City Score	2.2		1.0	94	2.2	4	2.03	
eets	2.0	7	1.0		2.2		1.84	
Not including alleys	1.9		1.8		2.1		1.74	
Commercial - Entertainment	1.8	34	1.7		2.4		1.74	
Commercial - Non-Entertainment	1.8		1.0		1.8		1.75	
Residential	2.2		1.0		2.1		1.74	
Alleys	2.4		2.0		2.7		2.49 1.84	
lewalks	2.0		2.0		2.3 2.5		1.86	
Commercial – Entertainment	1.8		1.0		1.9		1.79	
Commercial - Non-Entertainment	1.6		2.		2.3		1.83	
Residential	2.2		1.0		1.6		2.04	
arks arking	2.0		2.		2.3		2.0	
aterway	2.7	TOTAL SHEET ALL SHEET AND A SHEET	2.	The second secon	2.6		2.53	
each Areas	PORTON DE LA COMPONIONE							STATE OF THE PARTY.
Miami Beach Responsibility Only	2.0	02	1.	58	1.8	30	1.9	1
Miami-Dade County Responsibility	1.0		1.	78	2.0	04	1.98	5
ALTO DE SENTE DE LA TAMBIÉ DE L	FY05/06	FY07/08	FY08/09	FY09/10	FY10/11	FY11/12		
	PTOSIO	Tionee	Fiodos				% change	% change
Public Area	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	from prior FY	from base FY
							score	score
verall City Score	2.10	1.75	1.75	1.80	1.60			
treets	2.03	1.69	1.73	1.74	1.65			RATE PARTY
Not including alleys	1.94	1.59	1.66	1.66	1.54			
Commercial - Entertainment	1.95	1.56	1.65	1.69	1.55			
Commercial - Non-Entertainment	1.83	1.60	1.65	1.63	1.57	A CONTRACT CONTRACTOR	Control Control	
						The Control of the Co		
Residential	2.01	1.64	1.68	1.65	1.51			
Alleys	2.60	2.07	1.97	1.99	1.89			
Idewalks	2.06	1.72	1.73	1.75	1.63			
			~					
Commercial - Entertainment	2.04	1.68	1.69	1.77	1.64			
Commercial - Non-Entertainment	1.95	1.75	1.79	1.77	1.63	· 10 多多色色温度	The Court of the	
	DOMESTIC STREET			1.71	1.59			
Residentia	2.14	1.75	1.77		1.59			
arks	1.90	1.52	1.55	1.57	1.39			
arking	2.21	1.87	1.96	2.00	1.81			
					I Carlotte Control of the Control			
Vaterway	2.59	2.09	2.10	2.11	1.70			
Seach Areas	Market Street							
	1.85	1.59	1.62	1.59	1.43			
Miami Beach Responsibility Only	AT ASSESSMENT AND ADDRESS	The second second						CEVAL
Miami-Dade County Responsibility	1.93	1.70	1.61	1.63	1.48			
			FY10/11					
						% change	% change	
Public Area	Q1	Q2	QS	Q4	FY Score	from prior FY	from base FY	
						score	score	
Overall City Score	1.63	1.60	1.69	1.49	1.60	-11.1%	-23.8%	
Streets	1.59	1.60	1.92	1.47	1.65	-5.1%	-18.7%	
	A THE RESERVE				经验的			
Not including alleys	1.54	1.51	1.76	1.36	1.54	-7.2%	-20.6%	
Commercial - Entertainmen	t 1.49	1.54	1.74	1.41	1.55	-8.2%	-20.5%	
Commercial - Non-Entertainmen	1.56	1.47	1.88	1.36	1.57	-3.6%	-14.2%	
			PROPERTY.		STATE OF THE PARTY		BOSTON DE LA CONTRACTOR	
Residentia	1.59	1.51	1.67	1.27	1.51	-8.4%	-24.8%	
Alley	1.71	1.89	2.19	1.75	1.89	-5.0%	-27.3%	
	1.68	1.66	1.75	1.41	1.63	-6.8%	-20.8%	
Bidewalks	1.00	1.00				-0.078	CONTRACTOR OF THE	
Commercial - Entertainmen	1.63	1.69	1.80	1.43	1.64	-7.3%	-19.6%	
Commercial - Non-Entertainmen	1.64	1.59	1.85	1.44	1.63	-7.9%	-16.4%	
	CAL TEXT VICES IN			A NOTE OF STREET				
Residentia	1.79	1.71	1.50	1.34	1.59	-7.0%	-25.7%	
Parks	1.48	1.30	1.39	1.39	1.39	-11.4%	-26.8%	
	1.72	2.02	2.03	1.48	1.81	-9.5%	-18.1%	
Parking								
Naterway	1.76	1.59	1.63	1.80	1.70	-19.4%	-34.3%	
Beach Areas						A STATE OF THE PARTY OF		
	v 1.52	1.43	1.40	1.37	1.43	-10.0%	-22.7%	
Miami Beach Responsibility Onl							Maria Carlo	A STATE OF THE STA
Miami-Dade County Responsibilit	y 1.54	1.40	1.52	1.44	1.48	-9.2%	-23.3%	
	pro-		FY11/12	Marine Commence				
THE SERVICE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF	A SECURITION OF THE PARTY OF TH					% change	% change in	70 Onlange
Public Area	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	% change from prior Qtr	prior FY Qtr	from same
Overall City Score	1.63	1.63	e Button Was	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		0.0%	1.9%	-16.0%
	1.64	1.59				-3.0%	-0.6%	-19.7%
Streets						NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.		
Not including alley	s 1.52	1.48				-2.6%	-2.0%	-20.0%
Commercial - Entertainment	nt 1.51	1.51	CONTRACTOR OF A			0.0%	-1.9%	-15.2%
			Total Control of the Control			-6.3%	0.7%	-20.9%
Commercial – Non-Entertainmen		1.48						
Residenti	al 1.45	1.40	The state of the	A CONTRACTOR		-3.4%	-7.3%	-27.5%
Alley	/s 1.92	1.93			O PER SECURITION	0.5%	2.1%	-28.3%
						Company of the second s	-7.8%	-25.4%
Sidewalks	1.45	1.53				5.5%		
	nt 1.44	1.57				9.0%	-7.1%	-19.5%
Commercial - Entertainme		1.61		1000		7.3%	1.3%	-25.1%
	1100			S DAME BOOK	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN			
Commercial - Non-Entertainme			AND DESCRIPTION OF PERSONS ASSESSED.	TO THE REAL PROPERTY.	P STORES	5.9%	-15.8%	-31.89
		1.44		THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE				
Commercial – Non-Entertainme Residenti		1.44				1.4%	12.3%	-4.6%
Commercial – Non-Entertainme Residenti <u>Parks</u>	1.36 1.44	1.46						
Commercial – Non-Entertainme Residenti	1.36 1.44 1.71	1.46				11.7%	-5.4%	-4.6%
Commercial – Non-Entertainme Residenti <u>Parks</u>	1.36 1.44	1.46						
Commercial – Non-Entertainme Residenti <u>Parks</u> <u>Parking</u> <u>Waterway</u>	1.36 1.44 1.71	1.46				11.7%	-5.4%	-15.5%
Commercial – Non-Entertainme Residenti <u>Parks</u> <u>Parking</u> <u>Waterway</u> <u>Beach Areas</u>	1.36 1.44 1.71 2.26	1.46				11.7%	-5.4% 22.0%	-15.5% -8.5%
Commercial – Non-Entertainme Residenti <u>Parks</u> <u>Parking</u> <u>Waterway</u> <u>Beach Areas</u> Miami Beach Responsibility On	1.36 1.44 1.71 2.26	1.46 1.91 1.94				11.7%	-5.4% 22.0% -5.6%	-15.5% -8.5% -19.6%
Commercial – Non-Entertainme Residenti <u>Parks</u> <u>Parking</u> <u>Waterway</u> <u>Beach Areas</u>	1.36 1.44 1.71 2.26	1.46				11.7%	-5.4% 22.0%	-15.5°

FY Score

1.94 1.95 1.83 2.01 2.60 2.06 2.04 1.95 2.14 1.90 2.21 2.59

On the Line of	Public Area	Q1		Q2		Q3		Q4	
wide	Fublic Area	57.5		71.19	%	56.79	6	75.5%	
35630		65.7		79.29		63.99		84.8%	
ets	Commercial - Entertainment	66.1		81.19		47.59		74.6%	
	Commercial – Entertainment	76.7		72.29		82.49		97.9%	
	Residential	56.8		84.69		66.29		86.1%	
				36.89	TO SHOW HE SHOULD BE	37.09		56.1%	
	Alleys	37.7	SECURITIES AND ASSESSMENT				Walter Walter and Carlotte		
iewalks		62.6		68.79	SEPTIMES SHEET B	56.49	CONTRACTOR OF THE	79.3%	
	Commercial - Entertainment	69.2		71.89	ersen ikasara kecemiy	41.79		71.7%	
	Commercial - Non-Entertainment	63.5	Control of the second	58.49		79.89		87.4%	
	Residential	52.4		78.19		52.19		82.2%	
rks		46.3	3%	88.0	%	68.2%		63.8%	
arking		48.0	0%	59.5%		49.2%		69.0%	
aterway		42.9	9%	83.7	%	34.59	%	56.8%	
ach Area						Residence of			
	Miami Beach Responsibility Only	64.	1%	83.8	%	66.0%		78.5%	
	Miami-Dade County Responsibility	75.3	3%	78.4%		53.9%		77.2%	
	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	FYO	8/09	FY09/	/10				
	Public Area	FY Score		FY Soc					
wide	T GOIL AT GO THE STATE OF THE S	81.0%		79.4%					
ets		87.		86.4					
	Commercial – Entertainment	88.		87.8					
	Commercial - Non-Entertainment	84.		87.3					
	Residential	87.		82.5					
		67.		69.0	The second second				
	Alleys			对于中国的国际的国际的	THE SECOND SECTION				
iewalks		85.		80.9	MALINIA SERVICE AND A				
	Commercial - Entertainment	88.		81.4	The State of the S				
	Commercial – Non-Entertainment 78.3%			81.4					
	Residential	83.9%		79.6%					
rks	[2] [1] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2		1%	89.1%					
rking			8%	65.7					
aterway		71.	9%	71.6	3%				
ach Area	10								
	Miami Beach Responsibility Only	84.4%		87.3%					
	Miami-Dade County Responsibility 85.8%		.8%	88.7	7%				
				FY10/11				1	
	M. CO. B. LOCK STREET, S. L.		Q2	03	Q4	FY Score	Difference from prior	Difference from base	
	Public Area	Q1	d2				Qtr	Yr score	
wide		88.6%	84.3%	78.5%	90.6%	85.5%	12.1%	20.3%	
eets		94.6%	91.9%	79.5%	94.6%	90.2%	15.1%	16.8%	
	Commercial - Entertainment	96.9%	92.2%	79.1%	92.8%	90.3%	13.7%	23.0%	
	Commercial - Non-Entertainment	94.3%	91.3%	75.8%	94.6%	89.0%	18.8%	6.7%	
	Residential	91.1%	92.1%	83.7%	96.5%	90.9%	12.8%	17.4%	
	Alleys	82.9%	65.9%	68.4%	80.8%	74.5%	12.4%	32.6%	
dewalks		88.3%	86.3%	79.0%	93.1%	86.7%	14.1%	20.0%	
	Commercial - Entertainment	91.0%	84.8%	76.0%	92.6%	86.1%	16.6%	22.5%	
	Commercial - Non-Entertainment	90.0%	92.3%	73.1%	91.8%	86.8%	18.7%	15.0%	
	Residential	81.7%	82.2%	87.8%	94.8%	86.6%	7.0%	20.4%	
rks		94.4%	96.9%	92.1%	95.2%	94.7%	3.1%	28.1%	
arking		81.4%	67.5%	73.6%	89.4%	78.0%	15.8%	21.6%	
aterway		78.9%	87.1%	88.5%	81.0%	83.9%	-7.5%	29.4%	
each Are				THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY.		METATION OF THE PARTY OF THE PA			
Jacn Are	Miami Beach Responsibility Only	93,9%	93.4%	92.3%	81.0%	90.2%	-11.3%	17.1%	
	Miami-Dade County Responsibility	96.5%	96.3%	88.2%	91.8%	93.2%	3.6%	22.0%	
	whamis-bade County Responsibility		00.370				5.576		
	"是我们是 大学是自己的人			FY11/12					D.
THE RESERVE TO THE PERSON NAMED IN	Public Area	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	Difference from prior	Difference from prior	Difference from base
	PARTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND ADDRESS OF						Qtr	6.1%	Yr Qtr 13.3%
		86.8%	84.4%				-2.4%		
			90.7%	ALC: NO PARTY OF THE PARTY OF T			1.8%	-1.2%	11.5%
THE NEW YORK		88.9%		THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE			1.2%	-3.1%	8.0%
	Commercial – Entertainment	87.9%	89.1%				2.5%	-1.4%	17.7%
	Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment	Section 1981 Property	89.1% 89.9%				1.6%	1.0%	8.5%
THE RESERVE	Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential	87.9% 87.4% 91.5%			世代を		S. S	The state of the s	
NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	Commercial – Non-Entertainment	87.9% 87.4%	89.9%				-2.1%	7.8%	36.9%
treets	Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys	87.9% 87.4% 91.5%	89.9% 93.1%				-2.1% -3.2%	7.8% 2.1%	36.9% 19.7%
treets	Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys	87.9% 87.4% 91.5% 75.8%	89.9% 93.1% 73.7%				-2.1%	7.8% 2.1% 2.5%	36.9% 19.7% 15.5%
treets	Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleye	87.9% 87.4% 91.5% 75.8% 91.6%	89.9% 93.1% 73.7% 88.4%				-2.1% -3.2%	7.8% 2.1% 2.5% -5.3%	36.9% 19.7% 15.5% 30.6%
treets	Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys I Commercial – Entertainment	87.9% 87.4% 91.5% 75.8% 91.6%	89.9% 93.1% 73.7% 88.4% 87.3%				-2.1% -3.2% -3.1%	7.8% 2.1% 2.5%	36.9% 19.7% 15.5% 30.6% 12.9%
itreets	Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys E Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment	87.9% 87.4% 91.5% 75.8% 91.6% 90.4%	89.9% 93.1% 73.7% 88.4% 87.3%				-2.1% -3.2% -3.1% -3.1%	7.8% 2.1% 2.5% -5.3%	36.9% 19.7% 15.5% 30.6% 12.9%
itywide treets Sidewalks	Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys E Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment	87.9% 87.4% 91.5% 75.8% 91.6% 90.4% 90.1%	89.9% 93.1% 73.7% 88.4% 87.3% 87.0%				-2.1% -3.2% -3.1% -3.1% -3.3%	7.8% 2.1% 2.5% -5.3% 8.8% -3.5% 8.1%	36.9% 19.7% 15.5% 30.6% 12.9% 5.4%
dewalks	Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys E Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential	87.9% 87.4% 91.5% 75.8% 91.6% 90.4% 90.1% 94.3% 92.8%	89.9% 93.1% 73.7% 88.4% 87.3% 87.0% 91.0%				-2.1% -3.2% -3.1% -3.1% -3.3% 0.6%	7.8% 2.1% 2.5% -5.3% 8.8%	36.9% 19.7% 15.5% 30.6% 12.9%
eets dewalks rks rking	Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential	87.9% 87.4% 91.5% 75.8% 91.6% 90.4% 90.196 94.3% 92.8% 84.7%	89.9% 93.1% 73.7% 88.4% 87.3% 87.0% 91.0% 93.4% 75.6%				-2.1% -3.2% -3.1% -3.1% -3.3% 0.6% -9.1%	7.8% 2.1% 2.5% -5.3% 8.8% -3.5% 8.1%	36.9% 19.7% 15.5% 30.6% 12.9% 5.4%
walks	Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential	87.9% 87.4% 91.5% 75.8% 91.6% 90.4% 90.196 94.3% 92.8% 84.7%	89.9% 93.1% 73.7% 88.4% 87.3% 87.0% 91.0% 93.4% 75.6%				-2.1% -3.2% -3.1% -3.1% -3.3% 0.6% -9.1%	7.8% 2.1% 2.5% -5.3% 8.8% -3.5% 8.1%	36.9% 19.7% 15.5% 30.6% 12.9% 5.4%
alks	Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential	87.9% 87.4% 91.5% 75.8% 91.6% 90.4% 90.196 94.3% 92.8% 84.7%	89.9% 93.1% 73.7% 88.4% 87.3% 87.0% 91.0% 93.4% 75.6%				-2.1% -3.2% -3.1% -3.1% -3.3% 0.6% -9.1%	7.8% 2.1% 2.5% -5.3% 8.8% -3.5% 8.1%	36.9% 19.7% 15.5% 30.6% 12.9% 5.4%

Cleanliness Key Intended Outcome

Cleanliness was identified in our community surveys as a key driver affecting overall quality of life. In addition, in the 2009 survey, residents and businesses rated cleanliness as the number one service the City should strive not to reduce. The City has implemented increases in service levels and community satisfaction levels have improved. Overall scores have improved by 23.8% from FY2005/06 to FY2010/11.

Next Quarter Assessments

City employees and Neighborhood Leadership Academy alumni and students are conducting cleanliness assessments every quarter. If you or any member of your staff is interested in participating in the City's Public Area Cleanliness Program, please contact Leslie Rosenfeld with the Office of Budget and Performance Improvement Organizational Development Division at extension 6923.

If you have any further questions, please feel free to contact me.

JMG/KGB/LDR